### ADVANCES IN >>> HYDROTHERMAL CONVERSION OF INDUSTRIAL BIOGENIC RESIDUES INTO INTERMEDIATE BIOENERGY CARRIERS

RESULTS FROM THE F-CUBED PROJECT





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 884226.



# Process modeling and system design

Sayujya Shah, Jan Wilco Dijkstra TNO

> October 6<sup>th</sup>, 2023 Florence, Italy/Online



## Introduction





≫ ₃



#	Model type	Advantages		
1	Spreadsheet models	Customisation		
2	Flow sheeting Biorefinery-type models <i>(lignin, glucan, xylan, etc)</i>	Process steps integration Predictive value		
3	Flow sheeting Thermal conversion type models (C,H,O, ash etc)	Process steps integration Alignment with experimental data		

# Modelling approach



Stream composition definition using pseudo-components: e.g.  $Ca = CaCO_3$ 

# Key unit operations

■ TORWASH unit a Bio-organics + b S → c Upgraded bio-organics + d CO<sub>2</sub> + e H<sub>2</sub>O + f H<sub>2</sub>S a CxHyOz + b S → c Cx'Hy'Oz' + d' CO<sub>2</sub> + e' H<sub>2</sub>O + f' H<sub>2</sub>S

#### □ Anaerobic digestion:

Experimental data for anaerobic digestability. Conversion with the Busswell equation:

$$C_{n}H_{a}O_{b}N_{c}S_{d} + \left(n - \frac{a}{4} - \frac{b}{2} + 3\frac{c}{4} + \frac{d}{2}\right)H_{2}O \rightarrow \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{a}{8} + \frac{b}{4} + 3\frac{c}{8} + \frac{d}{4}\right)CO_{2} + \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{a}{8} - \frac{b}{4} - 3\frac{c}{8} - \frac{d}{4}\right)CH_{4} + cNH_{3} + dH_{2}S$$

Filtration with standard models
Full separation of solids phase to solids stream
Distribution of liquid phase over the filtrate stream and solids stream

S. Shah, J.W. Dijkstra and H. Wray, Biomass and Bioenergy, submitted 2023

≫ б

## **F-CUBED Block scheme**



# Process flow diagram (PFD) – Paper sludge



# PFD – Olive pomace & Orange peels



≫ 9

# Key performance indicators

- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline $ Bio-pellet quality $ \rightarrow EnPlusB standard $ \end{tabular} \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$
- Energy efficiency
  - Process efficiency  $(\eta_p)$ 
    - Energy efficiency towards bio-pellets only
  - Complex efficiency  $(\eta_c)$ 
    - All input/output streams
    - Primary energy based

### Scenarios/cases

- Three feedstocks (paper bio-sludge, olive pomace, orange peels)
- F-CUBED system, and reference system with anaerobic digestion only (AD)
- Three cases lab experiments (lb) and pilot experiments (pl), custom case (cstm) with process optimisation





# **Bio-pellet quality**



Parameters	F-CUBED targets	(EN <i>plus</i> B)	Paper bio- sludge	Olive pomace	Orange peels
Moisture (wb)	< 10 wt%	≤10 wt%	7 wt%	6 wt%	6 wt%
N (db)	< 2.5 wt%	≤1 wt%	6.8 wt%	2.9 wt%	1.6 wt%
S (db)	< 0.3 wt%	≤0.05 wt%	2.1 wt%	0.2 wt%	0.1 wt%
Ash (db)	N/A	≤2 wt%	41 wt%	1.1 wt%	2.3 wt%
LHV	> 10 MJ/kg	≥16.6 MJ/kg	18.2 MJ/kg	26.3 MJ/kg	22.2 MJ/kg

Enplus B standard for woody pellets i.e. premium pellets
Cannot be directly marketed as equivalent to woody pellets, but closing in
Application in coal powered power plants or in the steel industry

Process Efficiency η<sub>p</sub> (dimensionless)



Process efficiency =

Energy in pellets Energy in feed

Differences through amount of bio-organics dissolved

- Room for optimization for orange peels scenario
- Dissolved biomass can be used in anaerobic digestion

# Energy Efficiency (Paper bio-sludge)

Complex Efficiency  $\eta_c$  (dimensionless)

Complex efficiency  $(\eta_c)$ 

- All input/output streams
- Primary energy based



F-CUBED more energy efficient than reference (AD only)

Lab (lb) case better than pilot (pl) because of drier cakes

Custom (cstm) case is best:
Omit drying and pelletisation
Combustion of wet cakes

# Energy Efficiency (Olive pomace)

Complex Efficiency  $\eta_c$  (dimensionless)



F-CUBED more energy efficient than reference scenario (AD only)

# Energy Efficiency (Orange peels)

Complex Efficiency  $\eta_c$  (dimensionless)



Reference scenario (AD only): Very low anaerobic digestibility (inhibition by limonene)

Some room for optimisation from pilot case to lab case performance

# Conclusions

- Flexible process model available that translates experimental results into system performance
- Most relevant model parameters
  - Amount of organics going into solution
  - Pellet moisture content
- Model allows for bio-pellet quality assessment
  - Pellets best suited for power plants/steel industry
- F-CUBED system more energy efficient than reference scenarios for all feedstocks considered
  - Take anaerobic digestion step into account
- Custom scenario of paper bio-sludge with combustion of wet cakes much more efficient
  - Will also lead to significant cost reductions

# **THANK YOU**





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 884226.

